1	ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public		
2	and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).		
3	3		
4	4 SO STIPULATED:	SO STIPULATED:	
5	United	H P. RUSSONIELLO States Attorney	
6	4/17/2008 /s/ Dere	ek Owens	
7 8	DERE	K R. OWENS nt United States Attorney	
9 10	4/18/2008 /s/ Jodi	Linker	
11	JODI I	INKER ey for Mr. Castillo-Chun	
12 13 14 15 16	As the Court found on March 27, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that an exclusion of time between March 27, 2008 through April 17, 2008, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,		
18 19	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice.  See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
20 21	SO ORDERED.	STATES DISTRICT COL	
22 23 24	DATED: April 21, 2008  THE Average Joseph C. Spero United States Wagistrate Court Judge		
25 26	25   26	United a Court Judge	
27			
28			

STIP. AND ORDER CR 08-0190 JSW